


REMARKS

In the Advisory Action mailed January 29, 2004, the examiner states that there is nothing to define the "longitudinal direction" of the circulating element relative to the "cooling element." Applicant respectfully disagrees. Claim 17 states that the cooling element is bonded to the first circulating element and that the angle of the cooling element to the longitudinal direction of the first circulating element is substantially equal in magnitude to the angle of the louvers to the surface of the cooling element. Thus, in the event that the louvers are at an angle of about 45° to the surface of the cooling element, as shown in FIGS. 3a and 3b, the cooling element is disposed at an angle of about 45° to the longitudinal direction of the first circulating element.

Respectfully submitted,



\_\_\_\_\_  
John Smith-Hill  
Reg. No. 27,730


SMITH-HILL & BEDELL, P.C.  
12670 NW Barnes Road, Suite 104  
Portland, Oregon 97229

Tel. (503) 574-3100  
Fax (503) 574-3197  
Docket: OUTO 2326  
Postcard: 02/04-36

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, on the

25th day of February, 2004

  
\_\_\_\_\_